UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,642	09/05/2003	Robert H. Ashton	US20020383	8104
	7590 07/17/200 PATENTS COMPAN	_	EXAM	IINER
MD 0750 Suite 102 500 Renaissance Drive St. Joseph, MI 49085			RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

tuta minus Osmona ana	10/656,642	ASHTON ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit 1792 S Patent No. 4150 N/A. To if an agreement ting the sidewall in not suggest such a linear portion. Further would render would render the would render the TY DAYS FROM TI, WHICHEVER IS				
	JASON P. RIGGLEMAN	1792				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>JASON P. RIGGLEMAN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Robert L. Judd</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,2,12,20,27 and 29</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Hoffman (US Patent No	o. 5320120) and Cushing (US	Patent No. 4150	<u>0679)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendment incorporating the sidewall in the claims to attempt to define the filter chamber. Also, the applicant argued that the prior art did not suggest such a modification. Some minor changes were suggested for claiming the inlet opening/non-linear portion. Further search and consideration will be necessary upon receipt of the proposed amended claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
_	/Michael Barr/ SPE 1792 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				

Application No.

Applicant(s)